

F.A.A
Appeal NO 11-100(A)/2016

By Speed Post

Appeal 4/5-19 OF RTI Act 2005

TO

: The Appellate Authority,
90, MR. V. P. Pawdey,
CPIO & Designation is not -
- written,

'CESTAT'
New Delhi - 110 066

Appellant

: Prakashchand Chhaganlalji Jain
G-5, Dushant Vihar Apartment -
Aodhi Road
Yavatmal - 445 003.

Respondent

: MR. V. P. Pawdey
CPIO & Designation is not written,
'CESTAT'
New Delhi - 110 066

Reference

1. My RTI application dated 14/09/16
ENC No 1
2. Reply of Respondent dated
21/09/2016 received by me
on dated 03/10/2016 ENC No 2

Appeal in ID NO. 11-197/2016

Respected Sir,

Appellant begs to submit as under:
I have sent RTI application dated
14/09/2016 ENC No. 1 in which Reply dated
21/09/2016 ENC No 2 is received by me on
03/10/2016. The application ENC No. 1 is wrongly
transferred to Mumber Office.

~~31/10/16~~
Appellant

31/FAA
18/10/16

CPIO


31/10/16

GROUND'S OF APPEAL

1. In view of the Commentry Page No 134 (12F) the RTI application can not be transferred forwarded within his own department. Hence the Information demanded should have been supplied at your level. The Commentry Page No. 134 was already sent with RTI application & enclosed herewith ENC No. 3.

2. In view of the decision of CTC New Delhi in a case of S.J.S. Oberoi, Radhakrishnan v. PNB Zonal office Dehradun Appeal No. (S) IC/A/2006 F.No. CTC/MA/A/2006/00285 dated 02/06/2006 Page No. 234 to comply the Information regarding officers detail requirements of section 4(1) & disclose the Information sought. The above decision enclosed herewith ENC No. 4.

3. I am sending the Information collected on website for Information. Hence Your Honour is requested to please whether the Information is correct. The website information enclosed herewith ENC Nos. If this Information is not correct then may kindly be ordered to be supplied by the CPIO/Respondent.


Prayer : The Appellant humbly prays that :
The Information demanded by application (ENC No. 1) may kindly be ordered to be supplied by the CPIO/Respondent

~~for~~
Appellant


- 3 -

Hence this Appeal:

Yavumal
Ddt: 10/10/2016


Abbellan

- Ends : 1. RIT application dated 14/09/2016 - 01 page
2. Reply dated 21/09/2016 - 01 page
3. Commentary Page No. 134 - 01 page
4. CIC decision Page No. 234 - 01 page
5. Collected website Information - 01 page
6. IPO Rs. 10L as a fee of this Appeal - 01 page
No 10F 899403


Abbellan
06 page
03 page
09 page

THE FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY, CESTAT, NEW DELHI.

DATE OF HEARING 19.04.2017

APPEAL NO. 11-109(A)/2016

CPIO ID NO. 11-147/2016

In the matter of

Sh. Balash Chand.

Appellate - NONE
waiver of notice


V/S

CPIO CESTAT, Delhi

Respondent - V. P. Pandey

ORDER - 11/2017

The CPIO submits that the information sought has already been provided to the appellant by CESTAT Mumbai vide letter dated 21/10/2016. Copy of the same is placed before me by the CPIO. Hence nothing more needs to be provided. A copy of the reply from CESTAT Mumbai may be sent along with this order.


19.4.17